

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/759,248	HIGAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Denise Tran	2185

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Denise Tran.

(3) _____.

(2) Carl Brundidge (Reg. No. 29,621).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 21, and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Brundidge, Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims as stated in the Examiner's amendment to clarify the claimed languages.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Deurngan

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)